Supplementary Appendix to "Redrawing the US Obesity Landscape: Bias-Corrected Estimates of State-Specific Adult Obesity Prevalence"

Zachary J. Ward¹*, Michael W. Long², Stephen C. Resch¹, Steven L. Gortmaker³, Angie L. Cradock³, Catherine Giles³, Amber Hsiao⁴, Y. Claire Wang⁴

- 1. Center for Health Decision Science, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA
- Department of Prevention and Community Health, Milken Institute School of Public Health, the George Washington University, Washington DC, USA
- Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA,
 USA
- Department of Health Policy and Management, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

* Corresponding author

Email: zward@hsph.harvard.edu (ZJW)

Contents:

Table A: Self-reported vs measured height and weight in NHANES 2007-2012 and BRFSS 2013

Table B: Dataset crosswalks for matching individuals from BRFSS to NHANES

Table C: Dynamic subgroup definitions

Table D: Individual-level linear regression of measured height and weight on self-reported data in NHANES 2007-2012

Table E: Aggregate-level comparison of measured mean BMI in NHANES 2007-2012 to self-reported mean BMI from BRFSS 2013

Table F: Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests comparing age- and sex-specific BMI distributions from NHANES to BRFSS by adjustment method

Table A: Self-reported vs measured height and weight in NHANES 2007-2012 and BRFSS 2013

1. Paired t-tests: NHANES self-report vs measured – within individuals (18+)^a

HEIGHT (cm)	Measured Mean (95% CI)	Self-Report Mean (95% CI)	df	t	P Value
Males	176.01 (175.68-176.34)	177.35 (177.00-177.69)	8471	38.35	<i>P</i> <.001
Females	162.19 (161.90-162.47)	163.12 (162.86-163.38)	8451	31.87	<i>P</i> <.001
WEIGHT (kg)					
Males	88.34 (87.61-89.06)	88.23 (87.51-88.96)	8471	-1.42	.16
Females	75.11 (74.49-75.73)	73.78 (73.19-74.37)	8451	-25.67	<i>P</i> <.001
ВМІ					
Males	28.45 (28.22-28.69)	28.00 (27.78-28.22)	8471	-19.69	<i>P</i> <.001
Females	28.54 (28.32-28.75)	27.71 (27.51-27.92)	8451	-37.62	<i>P</i> <.001

2. Two-sample t-tests (sample-weighted): NHANES self-report (in-person interview) vs BRFSS self-report (telephone interview) – adults 18+^b

HEIGHT (cm)	NHANES Mean (95% CI)	BRFSS Mean (95% CI)	df	t	P Value
Males	177.35 (177.00-177.69)	177.82 (177.74-177.90)	176 702	3.97	<i>P</i> <.001
Females	163.12 (162.86-163.38)	163.28 (163.21-163.34)	227 013	1.44	.15
WEIGHT (kg)					
Males	88.23 (87.51-88.96)	88.62 (88.44-88.81)	176 702	1.38	.17
Females	73.78 (73.19-74.37)	73.50 (73.33-73.68)	227 013	-1.01	.31
ВМІ					
Males	28.00 (27.78-28.22)	27.98 (27.92-28.03)	176 702	-0.33	.74
Females	27.71 (27.51-27.92)	27.56 (27.50-27.62)	227 013	-1.55	.12

^a Height is overestimated by self-report, while weight is underestimated by females, leading to a significant difference in self-reported vs measured BMI for both sexes.

^b A comparison of in-person self-report vs telephone self-report revealed a small, significant difference for male height, but no significant differences for weight or BMI for either sex.

Table B: Dataset crosswalks for matching individuals from BRFSS to NHANES

Race/Ethnicity Category Crosswalks

BRFSS Race Category	NHANES Race Category
White, Non-Hispanic	White, Non-Hispanic
Black or African American, Non-Hispanic	Black, Non-Hispanic
American Indian and Alaska Native, Non-Hispanic	
Asian, Non-Hispanic	
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic	Other Race, including Multi-Racial
Other, Non-Hispanic	
Two or more races, Non-Hispanic	
Hispanic	Mexican American
i iispatiic	Other Hispanic

Income Category Crosswalks

BRFSS Income Category	NHANES Inc	come Categories				
<\$10,000	\$0-\$4,999					
4 10,000	\$5,000-\$9,999	<\$20,000				
\$10,000-\$14,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	<φ20,000				
\$15,000-\$19,999	\$15,000-\$19,999					
\$20,000-\$24,999	\$20,000-\$24,999					
\$25,000-\$34,999	\$25,000-\$34,999					
\$35,000-\$49,999	\$35,000-\$44,999					
	\$45,000-\$54,999					
\$50,000-\$74,999	\$55,000-\$64,999	>\$20,000				
	\$65,000-\$74,999					
	\$75,000-\$99,999					
\$75,000 or more	>\$100,000		>\$75,000			

Table C: Dynamic subgroup definitions

Iteration	Matching Group	Cumulative % Matched
0	(Exact Match)	35.08%
1	Income Range 1	71.51%
2	Income Range 2	82.32%
3	Income Range 3	85.34%
4	Income Range 4	86.95%
5	Income Range 5	87.72%
6	Income Range 6	87.85%
7	Age Range 1	98.07%
8	Age Range 2	99.53%
9	Age Range 3	99.89%
10	Age Range 4	100%

Table D: Individual-level linear regression^a of measured height and weight on self-reported data in NHANES 2007-2012

Males - Weight

	White		Bla	ck	Hisp	anic	Other	
Coefficient	Value	P value	Value	P value	Value	P value	Value	P value
Self-reported weight (lbs)	0.9691	<i>P</i> <.001	1.0814	<i>P</i> <.001	0.9324	<i>P</i> <.001	0.8352	<i>P</i> <.001
Self-reported weight (lbs) squared	0.0001	.26	-0.00004	.87	0.0002	.21	0.0005	.07
Age in years	-0.0607	.25	-0.0834	.50	0.0799	.38	0.1996	.13
Age in years squared	0.0004	.40	0.0001	.94	-0.0013	.17	-0.0024	.08
Constant	2.8965	.54	-11.6101	.29	5.3957	.36	8.7056	.40
Observations	3812 1851 2053		53	756				
R-squared	0.9	618	0.93	347	0.9	319	0.9	609

Females-Weight

	White		Bla	ck	Hisp	anic	Other	
Coefficient	Value	P value	Value	P value	Value	P value	Value	P value
Self-reported weight (lbs)	1.1228	<i>P</i> <.001	1.0567	<i>P</i> <.001	1.1301	<i>P</i> <.001	1.0520	<i>P</i> <.001
Self-reported weight (lbs) squared	-0.0003	.02	-0.00004	.75	-0.0003	<i>P</i> <.001	-0.0001	.31
Age in years	0.0273	.55	0.0233	.81	-0.0915	.23	0.1028	.32
Age in years squared	-0.0007	.13	-0.0012	.21	0.0006	.47	-0.0016	.14
Constant	-9.2384	.004	-2.9394	.56	-8.4144	<i>P</i> <.001	-4.9116	.16
Observations	36	94	190	01	21	35	722	
R-squared	0.9	646	0.93	389	0.9	474	74 0.9652	

Males – Height

	White		Black		Hispa	anic	Other	
Coefficient	Value	P value	Value	P value	Value	P value	Value	P value
Self-reported height (in)	0.1209	.79	0.3930	.40	-2.3019	<i>P</i> <.001	-1.4057	.21
Self-reported height (in) squared	0.0054	.09	0.0031	.35	0.0226	<i>P</i> <.001	0.0165	.045
Age in years	0.0415	<i>P</i> <.001	0.0445	<i>P</i> <.001	0.0374	.001	0.0529	.002
Age in years squared	-0.0006	<i>P</i> <.001	-0.0006	<i>P</i> <.001	-0.0006	<i>P</i> <.001	-0.0007	<i>P</i> <.001
Constant	33.7789	.03	26.3059	.11	118.9499	<i>P</i> <.001	86.2105	.02
Observations	38	12	18	51	205	53	756	
R-squared	0.8	834	0.80	691	0.76	39	0.80	631

Table D: Individual-level linear regression^a of measured height and weight on self-reported data in NHANES 2007-2012 (continued)

Females - Height

	Wh	ite	Bla	ck	Hispa	anic	Other	
Coefficient	Value	P value	Value	P value	Value	P value	Value	P value
Self-reported height (in)	1.6254	.001	3.1089	<i>P</i> <.001	-2.8043	<i>P</i> <.001	0.0200	.99
Self-reported height (in) squared	-0.0058	.12	-0.0178	.002	0.0284	<i>P</i> <.001	0.0068	.56
Age in years	0.0385	<i>P</i> <.001	0.0583	<i>P</i> <.001	0.0136	.15	0.0138	.30
Age in years squared	-0.0006	<i>P</i> <.001	-0.0008	<i>P</i> <.001	-0.0004	<i>P</i> <.001	-0.0003	.03
Constant	-16.9758	.26	-62.8755	.008	126.2547	<i>P</i> <.001	34.3916	.46
Observations	369	94	190	01	213	35	722	
R-squared	0.9	04	0.82	212	0.73	37	0.8	511

SI conversion factors: To convert inches to centimeters, multiply values by 2.54. To convert pounds to kilograms, multiply values by 0.45.

^a Linear regression models are based on Cawley J, Burkhauser R. Beyond BMI: The Value of More Accurate Measures of Fatness and Obesity in Social Science Research. NBER Working Paper Series. 2006; Working Paper 12291.

Table E: Aggregate-level comparison^a of measured mean BMI in NHANES 2007-2012 to self-reported mean BMI from BRFSS 2013

Sex – Age Group	NHANES Mean BMI (Measured)	BRFSS Mean BMI (Self-Report)
Males - 18-34	27.10861	26.56635
Males - 35-44	29.24743	28.73203
Males - 45-54	29.20621	28.91157
Males - 55-64	29.17282	28.80128
Males - 65-74	29.09742	28.36223
Males – 75+	27.6745	26.85956
Females – 18-34	27.42154	26.29227
Females – 35-44	28.57631	28.0812
Females – 45-54	29.19066	28.175
Females – 55-64	29.65947	28.49906
Females – 65-74	29.49242	28.19293
Females – 75+	27.33292	26.58255

^a Method is from Dwyer-Lindgren L, Freedman G, Engell RE, et al. Prevalence of physical activity and obesity in US counties, 2001-2011: a road map for action. *Population Health Metrics*. 2013;11:7. Note that in the original implementation, linear regression was used to adjust mean BMI over different years of NHANES and BRFSS. As we are simply looking at one year of BRFSS data, this regression approach resolves to simply applying a scalar to self-reported data (i.e. no intercept).

Table F: Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests comparing age- and sex-specific BMI distributions from NHANES to BRFSS by adjustment method

MALES	BRFSS	6 (Unadjus	ted)	Individual-level Regression ^a				regate-leve		CHOICES Model (Statistical Matching)			
Age Group	Dc	P Value	BMI ^d	Dc	P Value	BMI ^d	Dc	P Value	BMI ^d	Dc	P Value	BMI ^d	
18-24	0.0807	<i>P</i> <.001	28.2	0.0579	.001	28.5	0.0471	.01	28.2	0.0331*	.10	30.0	
25-29	0.0882	<i>P</i> <.001	28.1	0.0675	.005	29.1	0.0635	.009	24.7	0.0357*	.22	24.5	
30-34	0.0540	.03	25.8	0.0312*	.30	26.3	0.0438*	.09	25.4	0.0344*	.23	28.2	
35-39	0.0706	.001	30.8	0.0445*	.08	30.8	0.0460*	.06	23.3	0.0428*	.09	35.9	
40-44	0.0773	.001	25.1	0.0521	.03	25.2	0.0320*	.28	28.7	0.0185*	.65	24.3	
45-49	0.0639	.006	28.3	0.0456*	.08	28.3	0.0492	.049	25.1	0.0313*	.29	23.5	
50-54	0.0639	.003	25.8	0.0333*	.21	32.8	0.0456*	.052	26.1	0.0277*	.34	25.0	
55-59	0.0428*	.13	24.1	0.0542	.04	24.1	0.0626	.01	24.5	0.0324*	.31	27.0	
60-64	0.0691	.001	30.1	0.0349*	.16	30.0	0.0409*	.08	30.1	0.0273*	.33	28.6	
65-69	0.0826	.001	31.6	0.0650	.01	24.5	0.0798	<i>P</i> <.001	25.6	0.0383*	.20	35.9	
70-74	0.1230	<i>P</i> <.001	27.4	0.0575	.049	27.4	0.0543*	.07	27.4	0.0364*	.30	24.9	
75-79	0.1209	<i>P</i> <.001	29.2	0.0525*	.13	23.9	0.0523*	.13	23.9	0.0549*	.11	30.5	
80+	0.1095	<i>P</i> <.001	25.8	0.0256*	.54	29.7	0.0397*	.23	28.3	0.0363*	.29	29.1	

FEMALES	BRFSS	S (Unadjus	sted)	Individual-level Regression ^a		Aggregate-level Regression ^b			CHOICES Model (Statistical Matching)			
Age Group	Dc	P Value	BMI ^d	Dc	P Value	BMI ^d	Dc	P Value	BMI ^d	Dc	P Value	BMI ^d
18-24	0.1049	<i>P</i> <.001	28.3	0.0705	<i>P</i> <.001	30.2	0.0785	<i>P</i> <.001	20.5	0.0379*	.07	29.2
25-29	0.0756	.001	29.3	0.0461*	.09	35.7	0.0393*	.17	22.5	0.0337*	.27	28.8
30-34	0.0873	<i>P</i> <.001	23.8	0.0480*	.06	23.8	0.0323*	.27	27.6	0.0394*	.14	23.8
35-39	0.0824	<i>P</i> <.001	26.6	0.0442*	.08	31.6	0.0563	.02	30.7	0.0222*	.52	19.9
40-44	0.0463*	.052	25.9	0.0509	.03	23.7	0.0394*	.12	23.7	0.0344*	.20	33.5
45-49	0.0925	<i>P</i> <.001	28.3	0.0418*	.09	28.3	0.0345*	.20	37.0	0.0353*	.18	28.1
50-54	0.0926	<i>P</i> <.001	28.3	0.0416*	.09	23.9	0.0331*	.22	28.5	0.0446*	.06	25.0
55-59	0.0755	.002	32.3	0.0449*	.11	22.3	0.0627	.01	22.4	0.0235*	.54	39.7
60-64	0.1156	<i>P</i> <.001	27.5	0.0534	.01	28.7	0.0528	.02	28.6	0.0294*	.27	23.8
65-69	0.0790	.002	33.2	0.0412*	.18	22.1	0.0620	.02	22.0	0.0499*	.08	28.8
70-74	0.1533	<i>P</i> <.001	27.5	0.1002	<i>P</i> <.001	32.3	0.0881	<i>P</i> <.001	33.2	0.0389*	.22	34.0
75-79	0.1352	<i>P</i> <.001	29.3	0.0612*	.07	29.8	0.0856	.006	29.3	0.0304*	.52	25.0
80+	0.0734	.003	26.6	0.0680	.008	32.3	0.0423*	.15	32.4	0.0272*	.46	26.8

^a Individual-level regression models are based on Cawley J, Burkhauser R. Beyond BMI: The Value of More Accurate Measures of Fatness and Obesity in Social Science Research. NBER Working Paper Series. 2006; Working Paper 12291.

^b Aggregate-level regression adjustment is based on Dwyer-Lindgren L, Freedman G, Engell RE, et al. Prevalence of physical activity and obesity in US counties, 2001-2011: a road map for action. *Population Health Metrics*. 2013;11:7.

^c The D-Statistic is the maximum vertical distance between the cumulative distributions.

^d BMI indicates at what point in the cumulative BMI distributions the D-Statistic occurs.

 $^{^{\}star}$ BMI distributions are not statistically different from NHANES (p>.05).